

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FORT WORTH DIVISION

CHEROKEE D. BISHOP,
Plaintiff,

V.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,
Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL NO. 4:23-CV-835-P

**ORDER RECOMMENDING DISMISSAL
AND RETURNING CASE TO DISTRICT JUDGE**

On August 10, 2023, *pro se* Plaintiff Cherokee D. Bishop (“Bishop”) filed this action seeking review of the commissioner’s final decision on her application for social security benefits. (ECF 1). Thereafter, on October 11, 2023, this Court Ordered Plaintiff to file, within thirty (30) days of the order, “a brief setting forth all errors that Plaintiff contends entitle Plaintiff to relief.” (ECF 11 at 2). As of the date of this order, Plaintiff has wholly failed to comply with the Court’s October 11, 2023 Order. Consequently, the Court **RECOMMENDS** that the above-styled and numbered case be **DISMISSED** for failing to comply with the Court’s orders and failing to prosecute this case.

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO OBJECT TO PROPOSED
FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION
AND CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO OBJECT**

Under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), each party to this action has the right to serve and file specific written objections in the United States District Court to the United States Magistrate Judge’s proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation within fourteen (14) days after the party has been served with a copy of this document. The United States District Judge need only make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the United States Magistrate Judge’s proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation to which specific objection is timely made. *See* 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1). Failure to file, by the date stated above, a specific written objection to a proposed factual finding or legal conclusion will bar a party, except upon grounds of plain error or manifest injustice, from attacking on appeal any such proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the United States District Judge. *See Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428–29 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded by statute on other grounds*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

ORDER

Under 28 U.S.C. § 636, it is hereby **ORDERED** that each party is granted **until January 10, 2024**, to serve and file written objections to the United States Magistrate Judge's proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation. It is further **ORDERED** that if objections are filed and the opposing party chooses to file a response, the response shall be filed within seven (7) days of the filing date of the objections.

It is further **ORDERED** that the above-styled and numbered action, previously referred to the United States Magistrate Judge for findings, conclusions and recommendation, be and hereby is returned to the docket of the United States District Judge.

SIGNED December 27, 2023.


JEFFREY L. CURETON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE